



### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.iispito.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/672,738	09/28/2000	Yukio Tanaka	SEL 216	7356
75	90 06/19/2002			
Cook Alex McFarron Manzo			EXAMINER	
Cummings & Mehler Ltd Suite 2850			ALPHONSE, FRITZ	
200 West Adam	is Street			
Chicago, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2675	1
			DATE MAILED: 06/19/2002	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.





# Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/672,738

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Fritz Alphonse

Art Unit **2675** 

Yukio Tanaka

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	
	for Reply		
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET	TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM	
	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1,136 (a). In (	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the	
mailing	g date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within th		
- If NO	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a	nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.	
	oto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the oply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the		
_	patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status 1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Sep 28, 2	000	
2a) 🗆	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This acti		
3) 🗆		except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is	
31 🗆	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pai		
Disposi	tion of Claims		
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-24</u>	is/are pending in the application.	
4	4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
	Claim(s)		
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1-24		
7) 🗆			
8) 🗆		are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	
Applica	ation Papers		
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d		
11)□		is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)💢	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) [	☑ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:		
	1. $\mbox{\em \sc Z}$ Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received.	
	2. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received in Application No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority de application from the International Burea	ocuments have been received in this National Stage au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
*S	see the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	e certified copies not received.	
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	
a) [	$\square$ The translation of the foreign language provisiona	Il application has been received.	
15)□	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	
Attachm		r	
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).	
_	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
3) [X] In	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 09/672,738

Art Unit: 2675

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hebiguchi (U.S. Pat. No. 6,225,967).

Regarding claim 1, Hebiguchi teaches about a display device (figs. 1, 2) comprising: a pixel portion (11a-c) in which pixels, each including at least one TFT (i.e., switching transistor T in figure 2), are arranged in matrix form; a source driver  $(Sd_1)$  for supplying video signals to source signal lines  $S_1$ . Hebiguchi teaches about a first gate driver  $(Gd_1)$  for supplying selection signals to first gate signal lines  $(G_1, G_3)$ ; and a second gate driver  $(Gd_4)$  for supplying selection signals to second gate signal lines  $(G_2, G_4)$ . The pixels connected to the source signal lines  $S_1$  (e.g., see figure 2) are supplied with the selection signals from the first gate signal lines  $(Gd_1)$ ; the pixels connected to the source signal lines  $S_2$  are supplied with the selection signals from the second gate signal lines  $(Gd_2)$ ; the selection signal starts to be supplied to the second gate signal line  $(Gd_2)$  while the selection signal is supplied to the first gate signal line  $(Gd_1)$  while the selection signal is supplied to the second gate signal line  $(Gd_1)$  while the selection signal is supplied to the second gate signal line  $(Gd_1)$  while the selection signal is supplied to the second gate signal line  $(Gd_1)$ .

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/672,738

Art Unit: 2675

4.

Hebiguchi does not explicitly discloses a display device comprising a source driver for supplying video signals to 2n source signal.

However, this is very obvious because the structure of Hebiguchi's matrix display apparatus has the capability to supply video signals to a plurality of source signal. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a source driver for supplying video signals to 2n source signal. Doing so would provide images which have excellent intermediate gradation, thereby, high quality image with less unevenness can be obtained.

As to claim 2, the claim differs from claim 1 only in that the limitation "the selection signals are sequentially supplied to the first gate signal line G1L, the second gate signal line G1R, the first gate signal line G2L, the second gate signal line G2R". However, these limitations are disclosed by Hebiguchi (col. 2, lines 61 through col. 3 line 5).

As to claims 23-24, method claims 23-24 correspond to apparatus claims 1 and 2. Therefore, they are analyzed as previously discussed in claims 1 and 2 above.

3. Claims 3-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hebiguchi in view of Akebi (U.S. Pat. No. 5,825,342).

As to claims 3-10, Hebiguchi does not teach a projector comprising two to three display devices. However, this limitation is disclosed by Akebi (col. 5, lines 32-50; col. 10, lines 31-37).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hebiguchi by specifically providing a projector including a plurality of liquid crystal displays, as disclosed by Akebi. By doing so, the projector can use the liquid crystal

Application/Control Number: 09/672,738

Page 4

Art Unit: 2675

4

displays of one type in common without degrading display quality, upsizing the projector, complicating the cooling mechanism.

4. Claims 11-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hebiguchi in view of Yamazaki (U.S. Pat. No. 6,384,86).

As to claims 11-22, Hebiguchi does not teach a head mount display, a computer, a video camera, a DVD player or a display device comprising a display device. However, these limitations are disclosed by Yamazaki (see figures 13A-j).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to improve upon the active matrix display as taught by Yamazaki. The motivation would have been a desire to use a liquid crystal display that is inexpensive to produce and a high degree of scattering.

#### Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Okumura (U.S. Pat. No. 5,568,163) discloses a computer input device for use in conjunction with a mouse input device.

Suzuki (U.S. Pat. No. 6,037,923) discloses an active matrix display device.

Jinno et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,163,310) discloses a display apparatus with built-in driver.

Hirakata (U.S. Pat. No. 6,243,064) discloses a method of driving an active matrix type liquidcrystal display unit. Application/Control Number: 09/672,738

Art Unit: 2675

Mori (U.S. Pat. No. 6,345,894) discloses a color projector to produce a bright and

homogeneous color projection image.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Fritz Alphonse whose telephone number is (703) 308-8534.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Steve Saras, can be reached at (703) 305-9720.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington.

VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone

number is (703) 306-0377.

F. Alphonse

Art Unit: 2675

May 31, 2002

Page 5